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## Pole Cutting

## Pole-cutting:

- Given is a pole of length $n$

- The pole can be cut into multiple pieces of integral lengths
- A pole of length $i$ is sold for price $p(i)$, for some function $p$


## Example:

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| price $p(i)$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 | 30 |



## Pole Cutting (2)

Problem: Pole-Cutting
(1) Input: Price table $p_{i}$, for every $i \geq 1$, length $n$ of initial pole
(2) Output: Maximum revenue $r_{n}$ obtainable by cutting pole into smaller pieces

How many ways of cutting the pole are there?


## Pole Cutting (3)

$$
\text { There are } 2^{n-1} \text { ways to cut a pole of length } n \text {. }
$$

## Proof.

There are $n-1$ positions where the pole can be cut. For each position we either cut or we don't. This gives $2^{n-1}$ possibilities. $\square$

## Problem:

- Find best out of $2^{n-1}$ possibilities
- We could disregard similar cuts, but we would still have an exponential number of possibilities
- A fast algorithm cannot try out all possibilities


## Pole Cutting (4)

## Notation

$$
7=2+2+3
$$

means we cut a pole of length 7 into pieces of lengths 2,2 and 3

## Optimal Cut

- Suppose the optimal cut uses $k$ pieces

$$
n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}
$$

- Optimal revenue $r_{n}$ :

$$
r_{n}=p\left(i_{1}\right)+p\left(i_{2}\right)+\cdots+p\left(i_{k}\right)
$$

## Pole Cutting (5)

What are the optimal revenues $r_{i}$ ?

| length $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| price $p(i)$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 24 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{1}$ | $=1$ |  | $1=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{2}$ | $=5$ |  | $2=2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{3}$ | $=8$ |  | $3=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{4}$ | $=10$ |  | $4=2+2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{5}$ | $=13$ |  | $5=2+3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{6}$ | $=17$ |  | $6=6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{7}$ | $=18$ |  | $7=2+2+3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{8}$ | $=22$ | $8=2+6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{9}$ | $=25$ | $9=3+6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r_{10}$ | $=30$ | $10=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Optimal Substructure

## Optimal Substructure

- Consider an optimal solution to input length $n$

$$
n=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k} \text { for some } k
$$

- Then:

$$
n-i_{1}=i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}
$$

is an optimal solution to the problem of size $n-i_{1}$

## Computing Optimal Revenue $r_{n}$ :

$$
r_{n}=\max \left\{p_{n}, r_{1}+r_{n-1}, r_{2}+r_{n-2}, \ldots, r_{n-1}+r_{1}\right\}
$$

- $p_{n}$ corresponds to the situation of no cut at all
- $r_{i}+r_{n-i}$ : initial cut into two pieces of sizes $i$ and $n-i$


## Pole Cutting: Dynamic Programming Formulation

Simpler Recursive Formulation: Let $r_{0}=0$

$$
r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right) .
$$

Observe: Only one subproblem in this formulation
Example: $n=4$

$$
r_{n}=\max \left\{p_{1}+r_{3}, p_{2}+r_{2}, p_{3}+r_{1}, p_{4}+r_{0}\right\}
$$

| $p_{1}+r_{3}$ | $p_{2}+r_{2}$ | $p_{3}+r_{1}$ | $p_{4}+r_{0}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square \square \square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $(\square)$ |  |

## Recursive Top-down Implementation

Recall:

$$
r_{n}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}+r_{n-i}\right) \text { and } r_{0}=0 .
$$

Direct Implementation:
Require: Integer $n$, Array $p$ of length $n$ with prices if $n=0$ then
return 0
$q \leftarrow-\infty$ for $i=1 \ldots n$ do $q \leftarrow \max \{q, p[i]+\operatorname{CuT}-\operatorname{Pole}(p, n-i)\}$ return $q$

Algorithm Cut-Pole $(p, n)$
How efficient is this algorithm?

## Recursion Tree for Cut-Pole

Example: $n=5$


Number Recursive Calls: $T(n)$

$$
T(n)=1+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) \text { and } T(0)=1
$$

## Solving Recurrence

## How to Solve this Recurrence?

$$
T(n)=1+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) \text { and } T(0)=1
$$

- Substitution Method: Using guess $T(n)=O\left(c^{n}\right)$, for some $c$
- Trick: compute $T(n)-T(n-1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n)-T(n-1) & =1+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j)-\left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} T(j)\right) \\
& =T(n-1), \text { hence: } \\
T(n) & =2 T(n-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $T(i)=2^{i}$.

## Discussion

## Runtime of Cut-Pole

- Recursion tree has $2^{n}$ nodes
- Each function call takes time $O(n)$ (for-loop)
- Runtime of Cut-Pole is therefore $O\left(n 2^{n}\right)$. $\left(O\left(2^{n}\right)\right.$ can also be argued)

What can we do better?

- Observe: We compute solutions to subproblems many times
- Avoid this by storing solutions to subproblems in a table!
- This is a key feature of dynamic programming


## Implementing the Dynamic Programming Approach

Top-down with memoization

- When computing $r_{i}$, store $r_{i}$ in a table $T$ (of size $n$ )
- Before computing $r_{i}$ again, check in $T$ whether $r_{i}$ has previously been computed


## Bottom-up

- Fill table $T$ from smallest to largest index
- No recursive calls are needed for this


## Top-down Approach

Require: Integer $n$, Array $p$ of length $n$ with prices Let $r[0 \ldots n]$ be a new array for $i=0 \ldots n$ do $r[i] \leftarrow-\infty$ return Memoized-Cut-Pole-Aux $(p, n, r)$ Algorithm Memoized-Cut-Pole $(p, n)$

- Prepare a table $r$ of size $n$
- Initialize all elements of $r$ with $-\infty$
- Actual work is done in Memoized-Cut-Pole-Aux, table $r$ is passed on to Memoized-Cut-Pole-Aux


## Top-down Approach (2)

Require: Integer $n$, array $p$ of length $n$ with prices, array $r$ of revenues
if $r[n] \geq 0$ then return $r[n]$
if $n=0$ then
$q \leftarrow 0$
else

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& q \leftarrow-\infty \\
& \text { for } i=1 \ldots n \text { do } \\
& \quad q \leftarrow \max \{q, p[i]+\operatorname{MemoIzed}-\operatorname{Cut}-\operatorname{PoLE}-\operatorname{Aux}(p, n- \\
&\quad i, r)\} \\
& r[n] \leftarrow q \\
& \text { return } q
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm Memoized-Cut-Pole-Aux $(p, n, r)$
Observe: If $r[n] \geq 0$ then $r[n]$ has been computed previously

## Bottom-up Approach

Require: Integer $n$, array $p$ of length $n$ with prices
Let $r[0 \ldots n]$ be a new array
$r[0] \leftarrow 0$
for $j=1 \ldots n$ do
$q \leftarrow-\infty$
for $i=1 \ldots j$ do
$q \leftarrow \max \{q, p[i]+r[j-i]\}$
$r[j] \leftarrow q$
return $r[n]$
Algorithm Bottom-Up-Cut-Pole $(p, n)$
Runtime: Two nested for-loops
$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} O(1)=O(1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} 1=O(1) \sum_{j=1}^{n} j=O(1) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right)$.

## Conclusion

Runtime of Top-down Approach $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
(please think about this!)

## Dynamic Programming

- Solves a problem by combining subproblems
- Subproblems are solved at most once, store solutions in table
- If a problem exhibits optimal substructure then dynamic programming is often the right choice
- Top-down and bottom-up approaches have the same runtime

