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## Definition of the Sorting Problem

## Sorting Problem

- Input: An array $A$ of $n$ numbers
- Output: A reordering of $A$ s.t. $A[0] \leq A[1] \leq \cdots \leq A[n-1]$

Why is it important?

- Practical relevance: Appears almost everywhere
- Fundamental algorithmic problem, rich set of techniques
- There is a non-trivial lower bound for sorting (rare!)


## Insertion Sort

- Worst-case and average-case runtime $O\left(n^{2}\right)$
- Surely we can do better?!


## Insertion sort in Practice on Worst-case Instances



## Properties of a Sorting Algorithm

Definition (in place)
A sorting algorithm is in place if at any moment at most $O(1)$ array elements are stored outside the array

Example: Insertion-sort is in place
Definition (stability)
A sorting algorithm is stable if any pair of equal numbers in the input array appear in the same order in the sorted array

Example: Insertion-sort is stable

## Records, Keys, and Satellite Data

## Sorting Complex Data

- In reality, data that is to be sorted is rarely entirely numerical (e.g. sort people in a database according to their last name)
- A data item is often also called a record
- The key is the part of the record according to which the data is to be sorted
- Data different to the key is also referred to as satellite data

| family name | first name | data of birth | role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Peter | 02.10 .1982 | lecturer |
| Hills | Emma | 05.05 .1975 | reader |
| Jones | Tom | 03.02 .1977 | senior lecturer |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |

Observe: Stability makes more sense when sorting complex data as opposed to numbers

## Merge Sort

## Key Idea:

- Suppose that left half and right half of array is sorted
- Then we can merge the two sorted halves to a sorted array in $O(n)$ time:


## Merge Operation

- Copy left half of $A$ to new array $B$
- Copy right half of $A$ to new array $C$
- Traverse $B$ and $C$ simultaneously from left to right and write the smallest element at the current positions to $A$


## Example: Merge Operation

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline A & 1 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 11 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Example: Merge Operation

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline A & \begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Example: Merge Operation
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## Example: Merge Operation

\[

\]

## Example: Merge Operation

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline A & \cline { 2 - 3 } & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 9 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Analysis: Merge Operation

## Merge Operation

- Input: An array $A$ of integers of length $n$ ( $n$ even) such that $A\left[0, \frac{n}{2}-1\right]$ and $A\left[\frac{n}{2}, n-1\right]$ are sorted
- Output: Sorted array $A$


## Runtime Analysis:

(1) Copy left half of $A$ to $B: O(n)$ operations
(2) Copy right half of $A$ to $C$ : $O(n)$ operations
(3) Merge $B$ and $C$ and store in $A: O(n)$ operations

Overall: $O(n)$ time in worst case
How can we establish that left and right halves are sorted?

> Divide and Conquer!

## Merge Sort: A Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```
Require: Array \(A\) of \(n\) numbers
    if \(n=1\) then
        return \(A\)
    \(A\left[0,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{MergeSort}\left(A\left[0,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right]\right]\right)\)
    \(A\left[\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1, n-1\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{MERGESORT}\left(A\left[\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1, n-1\right]\right)\)
    \(A \leftarrow \operatorname{Merge}(A)\)
    return \(A\)
```

    MergeSort
    
## Structure of a Divide and Conquer Algorithm

- Divide the problem into a number of subproblems that are smaller instances of the same problem.
- Conquer the subproblems by solving them recursively. If the subproblems are small enough, just solve them in a straightforward manner.
- Combine the solutions to the subproblems into the solution for the original problem.


## Analyzing MergeSort: An Example
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## Analyzing Merge Sort

## Analysis Idea:

- We need to sum up the work spent in each node of the recursion tree
- The recursion tree in the example is a complete binary tree Definition: A tree is a complete binary tree if every node has either 2 or 0 children.

Definition: A tree is a binary tree if every node has at most 2 children.
(we will talk about trees in much more detail later in this unit)

## Questions:

- How many levels?
- How many nodes per level?
- Time spent per node?


## Number of Levels



## Number of Levels (2)

## Level $i$ :

- $2^{i-1}$ nodes (at most)
- Array length in level $i$ is $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right\rceil$ (at most)
- Runtime of merge operation for each node in level $i: O\left(\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right)$


## Number of Levels:

- Array length in last level / is $1:\left\lceil\frac{n}{\left.2^{I-1}\right\rceil}\right\rceil=1$

$$
\frac{n}{2^{I-1}} \leq 1 \Rightarrow n \leq 2^{I-1} \Rightarrow \log (n)+1 \leq 1
$$

- Array length in last but one level $I-1$ is $2:\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{I-2}}\right\rceil=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{n}{2^{I-2}}>1 \Rightarrow n>2^{I-2} \Rightarrow \log (n)+2>1 \\
& \quad \log (n)+1 \leq 1<\log (n)+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $I=\lceil\log n\rceil+1$.

## Runtime of Merge Sort

## Sum up Work:

- Levels:

$$
I=\lceil\log n\rceil+1
$$

- Nodes on level $i$ : at most $2^{i-1}$
- Array length in level $i$ : at most $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right\rceil$

Worst-case Runtime:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\log n\rceil+1} 2^{i-1} O\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right\rceil\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\log n\rceil+1} 2^{i-1} O\left(\frac{n}{2^{i-1}}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil\log n\rceil+1} O(n)=(\lceil\log n\rceil+1) O(n)=O(n \log n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Merge sort in Practice on Worst-case Instances



| $n$ | 46929 | 102428 | 364178 | 1014570 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| secs | 1.03084 | 4.81622 | 61.2737 | 497.879 (Insertion-sort) |
| secs | 0.007157 | 0.015802 | 0.0645791 | 0.169165 (Merge-sort) |

## Generalizing the Analysis

## Divide and Conquer Algorithm:

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a divide and conquer algorithm with the following properties:
(1) A performs two recursive calls on input sizes at most $n / 2$
(2) The conquer operation in $\mathbf{A}$ takes $O(n)$ time

Then:

A has a runtime of $O(n \log n)$.

## Stability and In Place Property?

## Stability and In Place Property?

- Merge sort is stable
- Merge sort does not sort in place


## Maximum Subarray Problem

## Buy Low, Sell High Problem

- Input: An array of $n$ integers
- Output: Indices $0 \leq i<j \leq n-1$ such that $A[j]-A[i]$ is maximized
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## Buy Low, Sell High Problem

- Input: An array of $n$ integers
- Output: Indices $0 \leq i<j \leq n-1$ such that $A[j]-A[i]$ is maximized



## Maximum Subarray Problem

Focus on Array of Changes:

| Day | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | 100 | 113 | 110 | 85 | 105 | 102 | 86 | 63 | 81 | 101 | 94 | 106 |
| $\Delta$ |  | 13 | -3 | -25 | 20 | -3 | -16 | -23 | 18 | 20 | -7 | 12 |

## Maximum Subarray Problem

- Input: Array $A$ of $n$ numbers
- Output: Indices $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $\sum_{l=i}^{j} A[/]$ is maximum.

Trivial Solution: $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ runtime

- Compute subarrays for every pair $i, j$
- There are $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ pairs, computing the sum takes time $O(n)$.
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- Output: Indices $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1$ such that $\sum_{l=i}^{j} A[/]$ is maximum.

Trivial Solution: $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ runtime

- Compute subarrays for every pair $i, j$
- There are $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ pairs, computing the sum takes time $O(n)$.


## Divide and Conquer Algorithm for Maximum Subarray

## Divide and Conquer:

Compute maximum subarrays in left and right halves of initial array

$$
A=L \circ R
$$

## Combine:

Given maximum subarrays in $L$ and $R$, we need to compute maximum subarray in $A$

## Three cases:

(1) Maximum subarray is entirely included in $L \checkmark$
(2) Maximum subarray is entirely included in $R \checkmark$
(3) Maximum subarray crosses midpoint, i.e., $i$ is included in $L$ and $j$ is included in $R$

## Divide and Conquer Algorithm for Maximum Subarray

## Maximum Subarray Crosses Midpoint:

- Find maximum subarray $A[i, j]$ such that $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $j>\frac{n}{2}$ (assume that $n$ is even)
- Observe that: $\sum_{l=i}^{j} A[/]=\sum_{l=i}^{\frac{n}{2}} A[i]+\sum_{l=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{j} A[I]$.


## Two Independent Subproblems:

- Find index $i$ such that $\sum_{l=i}^{\frac{n}{2}} A[i]$ is maximized
- Find index $j$ such that $\sum_{l=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{j} A[/]$ is maximized

We can solve these subproblems in time $O(n)$. (how?)

## Maximum Subarray Problem - Summary

Require: Array $A$ of $n$ numbers
if $n=1$ then

## return $A$

Recursively compute max. subarray $S_{1}$ in $A\left[0,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right]$ Recursively compute max. subarray $S_{2}$ in $A\left[\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1, n-1\right]$
Compute maximum subarray $S_{3}$ that crosses midpoint return Heaviest of the three subarrays $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}$
Recursive Algorithm for the Maximum Subarray Problem

## Analysis:

- Two recursive calls with inputs that are only half the size
- Conquer step requires $O(n)$ time
- Identical to Merge Sort, runtime $O(n \log n)$ !

